

On the q oscillator and the quantum algebra $su_q(1,1)$

This article has been downloaded from IOPscience. Please scroll down to see the full text article.

1990 J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 23 L415

(<http://iopscience.iop.org/0305-4470/23/9/003>)

View [the table of contents for this issue](#), or go to the [journal homepage](#) for more

Download details:

IP Address: 129.252.86.83

The article was downloaded on 01/06/2010 at 10:06

Please note that [terms and conditions apply](#).

LETTER TO THE EDITOR

On the q oscillator and the quantum algebra $su_q(1, 1)$

P P Kulish and E V Damaskinsky

Steklov Mathematical Institute, Leningrad Branch, Fontanka 27, 191011 Leningrad, USSR

Received 2 March 1990

Abstract. We discuss some problems of the q -deformed harmonic oscillator. Different q bosonisations of the quantum $su_q(1, 1)$ algebra are given and the corresponding infinite dimensional representations of discrete series are analysed.

The development of the quantum inverse problem method [1] and the study of solutions to the Yang-Baxter equation [2] gave rise to the notion of quantum groups and algebras (cf [3, 4] and references therein). The growing interest in the subject is connected with the fact that the properties of quantum groups and algebras are quite similar to those of Lie groups and Lie algebras in connection with both the representation theory and the possible physical applications. In particular, quantum superalgebras [5, 6] and the q deformation of the quantum harmonic oscillator [7-9] were defined. It was demonstrated that the q deformation of the oscillator (q oscillator) can be used in the quantum algebra representation theory in the same manner as in the case of the harmonic oscillator [7-9].

In this letter we discuss the theory of the q oscillator, constructing for the q annihilation operator a shift operator which generates q -coherent states. We formulate some important yet unsolved problems concerning the q oscillator which seem to be more complicated than those of the harmonic oscillator. One of them is the question of equivalence of the associative algebras $\mathcal{A}(q)$ generated by the q oscillators with different values of q . For the Fock representation we find the expression for the q oscillator in terms of the boson operators. Alternative forms of the q commutation relations are given and a relationship between different multimode generalisations [6, 10] is established. We propose a realisation of the quantum $sl(2)$ generators in terms of one q oscillator instead of two as used in [7-9]. The corresponding infinite-dimensional representations are reducible. The irreducible components of their decompositions belong to the discrete series of the quantum algebra $su(1, 1)$.

Let us consider an associative algebra $\mathcal{A}(q)$ with units generated by three elements a, a^+, N which satisfy the relations

$$[N, a^+] = a^+ \quad [N, a] = -a \quad (1)$$

and

$$aa^+ - qa^+a = q^{-N}. \quad (2)$$

To introduce $*$ operation in $\mathcal{A}(q)$ we suppose $q \in \mathbb{R}$:

$$(a)^* = a^+ \quad (a^+)^* = a \quad N^* = N. \quad (3)$$

Then the relations (1) and (2) are invariant with respect to the $*$ anti-involution. Within the pure algebraic frame one can use instead of N the generators k^+, k^- with relations $[k^\pm = \exp(\pm N \ln q)]$:

$$k^\pm a = q^{\mp 1} a k^\pm \quad k^+ k^- = k^- k^+ = 1 \quad (k^\pm)^* = k^\pm. \tag{4}$$

However we prefer the generator N with simple physical interpretation (the excitation number operator for the Fock space representation cf below).

There exist different forms of the relations (1) and (2). The modified operators [6] $\alpha = q^{-N/2} a, \alpha^+ = a^+ q^{-N/2}$ satisfy (1) and the commutation relation

$$[\alpha, \alpha^+] = q^{-2N} \tag{5}$$

The operators $A = q^N \alpha, A^+ = \alpha^+ q^N$ satisfy (1) and

$$AA^+ - \mu A^+ A = 1 \quad (\mu = q^2). \tag{6}$$

As far as we know the latter form with $\mu \in [-1, \infty)$ was first introduced in [11] where the Fock space representation was described. Later on it was used in so-called hadronic mechanics and Lie-admissible algebras (cf [12] and references therein). Recently the q oscillator (in different forms) was rediscovered by different authors [7-10] in connection with quantum groups and algebras. One can construct the representation of the relations (1), (2) (or (5), (6)) in the Fock space \mathcal{H}_F spanned on normalised eigenstates $|n\rangle$ of the excitation number operator N :

$$a|0\rangle = 0 \quad N|n\rangle = n|n\rangle \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots \tag{7}$$

$$|n\rangle = ([n]_q!)^{-1/2} (a^+)^n |0\rangle \tag{8}$$

$$[n]_q! = [1], [2], \dots, [n] \quad [n]_q \equiv [n] = \frac{q^n - q^{-n}}{q - q^{-1}}. \tag{9}$$

One can express $|n\rangle$ in terms of α^+ or A^+ operators with slightly different coefficients. The basis (8) is orthonormal due to the formulae ($\mu = q^2$):

$$a(a^+)^n = (qa^+)^n a + [n]_q (a^+)^{n-1} q^{-N} \tag{10}$$

$$\alpha(\alpha^+)^n = (\alpha^+)^n \alpha + [n]_{\mu^{-1}}^c (\alpha^+)^{n-1} \mu^{-N} \tag{11}$$

$$A(A^+)^n = (\mu A^+)^n A + [n]_{\mu}^c (A^+)^{n-1} \tag{12}$$

where we also use the notation from the q analysis [13]

$$[n]_{\mu}^c = (\mu^n - 1)/(\mu - 1) = q^{n-1} [n]_q \quad \mu = q^2. \tag{13}$$

In the Fock space \mathcal{H}_F it is possible to express the q oscillator in terms of the usual Bose operators b, b^+ :

$$a = \left(\frac{[N+1]}{N+1} \right)^{1/2} b \quad a^+ = b^+ \left(\frac{[N+1]}{N+1} \right)^{1/2}. \tag{14}$$

Similar expressions for the quantum $sl_q(2)$ generators in terms of the Lie algebra $sl(2)$ generators were constructed in [14].

As a result we obtain from (14), $[b, b^+] = 1$ and $N = b^+ b$ for a, a^+ the relations (1), (2) or the relation with q^{-1} :

$$aa^+ - q^{-1} a^+ a = q^N. \tag{15}$$

It follows from (2), (15) or (14) that in \mathcal{H}_F there exist the equalities [7, 9]

$$a^+ a = [N] = \frac{q^N - q^{-N}}{q - q^{-1}} \quad aa^+ = [N+1]. \tag{16}$$

Is it possible to obtain (16) for a, a^+ using the relations (1), (2) only? Algebraic manipulations show that the operator

$$C = [N] - a^+ a \tag{17}$$

commutes with a in a different way in the cases of relations (1), (2) or (1), (15) respectively:

$$aC = qCa \quad aC = q^{-1}Ca. \tag{18}$$

They are the same in \mathcal{H}_F because $C = 0$ due to (16).

Taking into account (14) it is easy to conclude that in \mathcal{H}_F the basis $|n\rangle$ is nothing but the well known n -boson states. This is not so for the corresponding coherent states, which can be constructed for the operators a (α or A) [12, 8]

$$a|z\rangle = z|z\rangle \quad |z\rangle = (\mathcal{N}(z))^{-1/2} e_q(za^+) |0\rangle \tag{19}$$

$$\mathcal{N}(z) = e_q(|z|^2) \quad e_q(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^n}{[n]_q!} \tag{20}$$

In these formulae the notation of q analysis for the q exponent is used. The same set of states (19) can be obtained introducing an operator T which satisfies the commutation relation

$$[a, T] = 1 \tag{21}$$

and the displacement group $\mathcal{D}(z) = \exp zT$ for a :

$$a\mathcal{D}(z) = \mathcal{D}(z)(a+z) \quad |z\rangle = (\mathcal{N}(z))^{-1/2} \mathcal{D}(z)|0\rangle. \tag{22}$$

These coherent states for $q \neq 1$ do not coincide with the usual states and we postpone the discussion of their completeness until the next publication.

There exists an analogue of the holomorphic representation for the q oscillator (also known in q analysis [13]) where a^+ is the multiplication operator of x and a is the q differentiation operator D :

$$(Df)(x) = \frac{f(qx) - f(q^{-1}x)}{(q - q^{-1})x} \quad Nx^n = nx^n. \tag{23}$$

Let us consider multimode q oscillators. In [7-9, 6] n independent (mutually commuting) q oscillators $a_i, a_j^+, i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ were used. Another set of operators φ_i, φ_j^+ was introduced in [10]. This system satisfies the relations ($i < j$)

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_i^+ \varphi_j^+ &= q\varphi_j^+ \varphi_i^+ & \varphi_j \varphi_i &= q\varphi_i \varphi_j \\ \varphi_k \varphi_l^+ &= q\varphi_l^+ \varphi_k & l &\neq k \\ \varphi_i \varphi_i^+ - q^2 \varphi_i^+ \varphi_i &= 1 + (q^2 - 1) \sum_{k>i} \varphi_k^+ \varphi_k. \end{aligned}$$

the operators φ_i, φ_j^+ can be expressed in terms of independent q oscillators ($\varphi_j^+ = (\varphi_j)^+$):

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_i &= q^{\sum_{k>i} N_k} q^{N_i/2} a_i = q^{\sum_{k>i} N_k} A_i \\ 1 + (q^2 - 1) \sum_{k>i} \varphi_k^+ \varphi_k &= \prod_{k>i} (1 + (q^2 - 1) A_k^+ A_k). \end{aligned}$$

Because the relations (1) and (2) depend on two parameters q and Planck's constant \hbar ($a^\pm \rightarrow a^\pm / \hbar^{1/2}, N \rightarrow N\hbar^{-1}, q = \exp \gamma\hbar$) one can consider different limits: $q \rightarrow 1$ ($\gamma \rightarrow 0$)

reproducing the Heisenberg algebra and $\hbar \rightarrow 0$ (γ is fixed) obtaining the classical system with one degree of freedom and the Poisson bracket for complex coordinates

$$\{a^*, a\} = i(1 + \gamma^2(a^*a)^2)^{1/2}. \quad (24)$$

We would like to mention that a difficult problem is the determination of the invariance group of the q oscillator. The relations (1), (2) or (24) are not invariant with respect to the translations or the Bogoliubov transformations. However, the q oscillator can be obtained from the finite dimensional representations of the quantum $sl(2)$ algebra ($\mu = q$):

$$[J_0, J_{\pm}] = \pm J_{\pm}, [J_+, J_-] = [2J_0]_{\mu} \quad (25)$$

by the contraction procedure [6, 15].

The realisation of the generators (25) in terms of two independent q oscillators was given in [7-9]:

$$J_0 = \frac{1}{2}(N_1 - N_2) \quad J_+ = a_1^+ a_2, \quad J_- = a_2^+ a_1. \quad (26)$$

The relations of the quantum $SU(1, 1)$ algebra have opposite signs in the second formula of (25)

$$[K_0, K_{\pm}] = \pm K_{\pm} \quad [K_+, K_-] = -[2K_0]_{\mu} \quad (27)$$

with the Casimir operator

$$c = [K_0 - \frac{1}{2}]_{\mu}^2 - K_+ K_- \quad (28)$$

It is possible to realise these generators in the Fock space \mathcal{H}_F of one q oscillator ($\beta = (q + q^{-1})^{-1}$)

$$K_0 = \frac{1}{2}(N + \frac{1}{2}) \quad K_+ = \beta(a^+)^2 \quad K_- = \beta a^2 \quad \mu = q^2. \quad (29)$$

The corresponding representation in \mathcal{H}_F is reducible because the operator $P = (-1)$ commutes with all the generators (29). The decomposition of \mathcal{H}_F has two irreducible components with eigenvalues $(-1)^{\varepsilon}$, $\varepsilon = 0, 1$ of the operator P :

$$\mathcal{H}_F = \mathcal{H}_0 \oplus \mathcal{H}_1 \quad (30)$$

consisting of the vectors with even and odd numbers of q -oscillator excitations.

In the case of two q oscillators one can easily construct two realisations of the $su_{\mu}(1, 1)$ algebra (27). One is similar to (26) while the other is connected with (29) and the existence of a comultiplication for the $su_{\mu}(1, 1)$ [3, 4] or the possibility to define the action of the $su_{\mu}(1, 1)$ generators in the tensor product of representation spaces.

If we define K_0, K_{\pm} as

$$K_0 = \frac{1}{2}(N_1 + N_2 + 1) \quad K_+ = (K_-)^+ = a_1^+ a_2^+ \quad \mu = q \quad (31)$$

then these generators satisfy (27). As a result we have a reducible representation of the quantum algebra $SU_{\mu}(1, 1)$ in the space $\mathcal{H}_F^{(1)} \otimes \mathcal{H}_F^{(2)}$ because the operator $N_1 - N_2$ commutes with K_0, K_{\pm} (31). The decomposition of this representation into irreducible ones is

$$\mathcal{H}_F^{(1)} \otimes \mathcal{H}_F^{(2)} = \sum_{l=-\infty}^{\infty} \mathcal{H}_l \quad (32)$$

where the basis of the subspace \mathcal{H}_l consists of the vectors $\{|n_1\rangle \otimes |n_2\rangle$, $n_1 = n_2 = l$, $n_1, n_2 = 0, 1, 2, \dots\}$.

If we use a coproduct $\Delta: SU_{\mu}(1, 1) \rightarrow SU_{\mu}(1, 1) \otimes SU_{\mu}(1, 1)$ [3, 4]:

$$\Delta(K_0) = K_0 \otimes I + I \otimes K_0 \quad \Delta(K_{\pm}) = K_{\pm} \otimes \mu^{K_0} + \mu^{-K_0} \otimes K_{\pm} \quad (33)$$

together with the notation $a_1 = a \otimes I$, $a_2 = I \otimes a$ and (29) then we can define another

representation of $SU_\mu(1, 1)$ in the space (32)

$$\begin{aligned} K_0 &= \frac{1}{2}(N_1 + N_2 + 1) & \mu &= q^2 \\ K_+ &= \beta((a_1^+)^2 q^{N_2+1/2} + q^{-N_1-1/2}(a_2^+)^2) \\ K_- &= \beta((a_1^-)^2 q^{N_2+1/2} + q^{-N_1-1/2}(a_2^-)^2). \end{aligned} \quad (34)$$

Now the decomposition of $\mathcal{H}_F \otimes \mathcal{H}_F$ into irreducible representations has only one multiplicity free component. This decomposition is

$$\mathcal{H}_F \otimes \mathcal{H}_F = \mathcal{H}_{1/2} \oplus \mathbb{C}^2 \otimes \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} (\mathcal{H}_s \oplus \mathcal{H}_{s+1/2}) \quad (35)$$

where we parametrise the irreducible subspaces \mathcal{H}_s by the eigenvalue of K_0 on the corresponding lowest weight vector:

$$\begin{aligned} K_0|s, 0\rangle &= s|s, 0\rangle & K_-|s, 0\rangle &= 0 \\ |s, n\rangle &\approx (K_+)^n|s, 0\rangle & n &= 0, 1, 2, \dots \end{aligned}$$

In the three cases (29), (31), (34) we have the q -oscillator realisations of the $su_\mu(1, 1)$ irreducible representations which belong to the discrete series. Formal construction of other series can be found for example in [16].

As in the $su(1, 1)$ it is possible to construct coherent states of the quantum algebra $su_\mu(1, 1)$ with corresponding modifications (cf (19), (20)). The quantum algebras can be used for the description of the dynamical symmetries of physical systems. In particular, the operators of the q -oscillator type, such as (14), were used in the quantum discrete integrable model which is the analogue of the one-dimensional nonlinear Schrödinger equation [17]. Work along these lines is in progress.

The authors are grateful to M Chaichian for useful discussions and for some important references.

References

- [1] Faddeev L D 1984 Integrable models in $(1+1)$ -dimensional quantum field theory *Les Houches Lectures, 1982* (Amsterdam: Elsevier) p 563
- [2] Kulish P P and Sklyanin E K 1981 *Lecture Notes in Physics* vol 151 (Berlin: Springer) p 61
- [3] Jimbo M 1989 *Int. J. Mod. Phys.* **4** 3759
- [4] Takhtajan L A 1989 *Adv. Stud. Pure Math.* **19** 435
- [5] Kulish P P 1988 Quantum superalgebra $osp(1/2)$ *Preprint RIMS-615 Kyoto*
Kulish P P and Reshetikhin N Yu 1989 *Lett. Math. Phys.* **18** 143
- [6] Chaichian M and Kulish P P 1989 Quantum Lie superalgebras and q -oscillators *Preprint Helsinki University HU-TFT-89-39*; 1990 *Phys. Lett.* **234B** 72
- [7] Macfarlane A J 1989 *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **22** 4581
- [8] Biedenharn L C 1989 *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **22** L873
- [9] Hayashi T 1989 *Preprint Department of Mathematics, Nagoya University*
- [10] Pusz W and Woronowicz S L 1988 Twisted second quantisation *Preprint 1/88 Warsaw University* (1990 *Rep. Math. Phys.* to be published)
- [11] Kuryshkin V V 1976 On some generalisation of creation and annihilation operators in quantum theory (μ -quantisation) *Dep. VINITI Dep.* 3936-76 (in Russian); 1980 *Ann. Found. L de Broglie* **5** 111
- [12] Jannussis A *et al* 1982 *Hadronic J.* **5** 1923
- [13] Cigler J 1979 *Monat. Math.* **88** 87
- [14] Curtright Th and Zachos C K 1989 Deforming maps for quantum algebras *Preprint Miami University TH/7/89*
- [15] Ng Y J 1989 Comment on the q -analogues of the harmonic oscillator *Preprint IFP-365-UNC North Carolina University*
- [16] Klimyk A U and Groza V A 1989 Representation of quantum pseudounitary algebras *Preprint ITF-89-37R* (in Russian) (1990 *Inst. Theor. Phys. Kiev* to be published)
- [17] Kulish P P 1981 *Lett. Math. Phys.* **5** 191